Guidelines on the assessment and public defence of the PhD thesis at the PhD School, Faculty of Business and Social Sciences, University of Southern Denmark

These guidelines recapitulate some of the rules laid down by the Ministerial Order on the PhD Programme at the Universities (PhD Order) no. 1039 of 27 August 2013 which came into force as from 1 September 2013 and the supplementary regulations of the PhD School at the Faculty of Business and Social Sciences.

The PhD thesis

The PhD thesis is a piece of independent work, which is based on an individual PhD programme, and cannot be submitted for assessment by two or more authors jointly. The underlying research project may, however, have been carried out in cooperation with others.

The principal supervisor can decide whether or not manuscripts of other articles, or previously published articles, may be included in the PhD thesis. If the thesis is based on articles, it must include a summary detailing the interconnection and also showing progression and summarising the overall research results. Articles that are included in the thesis may be prepared in cooperation with others on condition that they are accompanied by written declarations from each of the co-authors, specifying the PhD author’s contribution to the total work. The predominant elements should be prepared independently. Co-author declarations must be signed by the co-authors and the PhD student.

Submission of the PhD thesis

The PhD thesis must, as a rule, be in Danish or English and be furnished with an abstract in Danish and English.

No later than the date on which the PhD programme expires the PhD thesis must be submitted in PDF format to the PhD School Secretariat via e-mail: phdsek@sam.sdu.dk accompanied by the following documents:

- Activity overview
- Statement from the principal supervisor about the PhD study programme as a whole, including the performance of the individual elements in the PhD plan (coursework activities, knowledge dissemination, and study periods at other research institutions). The statement must be in English
- Co-author declarations, if applicable
- Lending out form
- Report to Statistics Denmark

The PhD School Secretariat sends the PhD thesis including statements to the assessment committee and the principal supervisor.

The PhD student’s enrolment at the university expires upon the submission of the PhD thesis.

Assessment committee

In the interest of adhering to the deadlines for assessment and defence, the PhD student should notify the Head of Department and the PhD School Secretariat about submission of the thesis in good time and no later than two months before the expected submission date. It is important that this deadline be adhered to, so the assessment committee can be appointed by the submission date.
The PhD thesis is assessed by a three-member assessment committee. The members must be professors or full-time associated professors, or must have corresponding academic qualifications in the relevant discipline. Two of the members must come from outside the University of Southern Denmark, with one of these being from abroad, unless this is not appropriate for academic reasons. For PhD students on the Industrial PhD programme, at least one member of the assessment committee must have company-relevant research experience within the relevant discipline, cf. the PhD Order, section 27, subsection 2.

The PhD student’s supervisors cannot be members of the committee, but the principal supervisor must participate in the work of the assessment committee without voting rights.

**Procedures for composition of the assessment committee**

1. The PhD School Secretariat will request that the Head of Department provides proposals for composition of the assessment committee before the deadline for submission of PhD thesis expires.

2. The Head of Department sends suggestions for composition of the assessment committee via the PhD School Secretariat. The Head of Department must ascertain in advance that the proposed members of the assessment committee are willing to join the committee. CVs must be submitted for external assessors. On this basis, the PhD Committee will make recommendations to the Dean concerning the composition of the assessment committee, cf. the Danish University Act, section 16b, subsection 2, no. 2.

The PhD School Secretariat will inform the PhD student as to who will be on the assessment committee. The PhD student has one week in which to raise any objections concerning the members. If the PhD student has raised no objections within one week, the PhD School Secretariat will send the PhD thesis to the assessment committee and to the principal supervisor.

**The chairman’s role in the assessment committee**

The representative of the University of Southern Denmark acts as chairman for the committee. The chairman is encouraged to take initiative in preparing a time frame for the assessment work, and to agree on a provisional date for the defence immediately upon the appointment of the assessment committee. The chairman is expected to inform the PhD School Secretariat about a final date for the PhD defence, time, place, and the subject of the lecture, if relevant (which might also be identical with the title of the thesis) when the committee recommends the thesis suitable as a basis for awarding the PhD degree.

Preparing the recommendation is the work of the committee as a whole, but the chairman is responsible for condensing the written contributions for the assessment and for ensuring the quality of its writing so that it stands as a uniform text with clear links between premises and conclusions. The language used should be sober, factual and objective. The chairman coordinates the writing process and bears the primary responsibility for compliance with deadlines and other formalities. The chairman should introduce the members of the committee to Danish rules for the PhD course and PhD degree including rules governing the defence. At the same time committee members receive written information about these from the PhD School. Furthermore, the chairman should ensure that the principal supervisor is involved in the work of the committee from the start and is responsible for seeing that the principal supervisor is informed about the preliminary assessment.
The chairman’s responsibility for the form of the final recommendation is comparable to that for the preliminary assessment.

**The principal supervisor’s role in the assessment committee**

The PhD student's supervisors cannot be members of the assessment committee, but the principal supervisor participates in the committee without voting.

The chairman should ensure that the principal supervisor is involved in the work of the committee from the start. The chairman must ensure that the principal supervisor is summoned to assessment committee meetings, kept informed of e-mails, etc. regarding the assessment of the thesis. The principal supervisor will take part in the work of the committee, answering questions on the underlying progress of the PhD, etc. and on the academic progress of the research project.

The principal supervisor must have the opportunity to comment on the preliminary assessment and to clear up any misunderstandings before it is submitted to the Dean, but without voting rights.

The chairman is responsible for seeing that the principal supervisor is informed about the preliminary assessment.

**The preliminary assessment**

No later than two months (the month of July is not counted in this period) after the submission of the thesis the assessment committee shall complete its preliminary assessment. The committee makes a recommendation to the Academic Council for passing on to the Dean via the PhD School Secretariat, as to whether the PhD thesis is suitable as a basis for awarding the PhD degree.

If the PhD thesis is based on articles, please consult the PhD School website: [Requirements to an article based PhD thesis](#)

The target group for the preliminary assessment is the author, who should be able to use the assessment in preparing for the oral defence (or if the assessment is negative in any reworking of the thesis), and the Head of PhD School, who ensures that the assessment is as it should be both as regards formalities and content.

The assessment should be reasoned and take the form of an independent document, briefly presenting the theme and structure of the thesis and indicating its strengths and weaknesses. The premises that form the basis for the assessment must be made clear. The assessment should lead to a conclusion, which should be consistent with the assessment’s premises, and with the earlier exposition of the thesis.

This exposition should have a sufficient degree of detail, including an explicit description of the thesis’ scientific contribution and, in cases where the thesis is in part co-authored, an explicit description and assessment of the level of the PhD student’s own contribution. The assessment should provide sufficient detail and should be sufficiently clear and comprehensive so that even lay readers can follow the thought processes from premise to conclusion. It should be clear whether the recommendation is made unanimously or whether there are divided opinions, and if so which members of the committee adopt which positions.

If there is unanimity, the preliminary assessment can be signed by the chairman of the committee on behalf of the others.
The preliminary assessment must be send to the Dean via the PhD School Secretariat. The date for the defence is given and the subject of the lecture, if relevant.

If the committee judges that the thesis does not have qualities that make it suitable for an oral defence, the preliminary assessment should be able to provide the basis for the Dean’s decision as to whether the thesis can be submitted again in a revised form within the time-frame of no less than three months. The committee makes a recommendation regarding the number of months of revision it judges necessary.

The preliminary assessment must be signed by all members of the assessment if the thesis is deemed to be not suitable for an oral defence. The PhD student and the principal supervisor must have two weeks in which to comment on the recommendation.

On the basis of the comments of the assessment committee and, if relevant, those of the PhD student and principal supervisor, the Dean will determine one of the following in consultation with the Head of PhD School:

1. That the defence cannot take place.
2. That the PhD thesis can be resubmitted in a revised form within a deadline of at least three months. If the thesis is resubmitted, it should be assessed by the assessment committee previously appointed, unless special circumstances apply.
3. That the PhD thesis is to be assessed by a new assessment committee.

The defence of the PhD thesis

The defence must take place no sooner than two weeks after the assessment committee has announced its recommendation, and no later than three months after submission of the PhD thesis, cf. PhD Order, section 20, subsection 2.

If special circumstances apply, the Head of PhD School can in consultation with the PhD student determine that a planned PhD defence can be held with only two assessors present at the PhD defence, cf. PhD Order, section 19, subsection 3. This might be decided in case of sudden illness among one of the assessors close to the already planned PhD defence.

Furthermore, if special circumstances apply, the Head of PhD School can decide to postpone the defence. A postponement of the defence requires an agreement between the author of the thesis, the chairman of the assessment committee and the Head of PhD School, including the date when the PhD defence will be held, cf. the PhD Order, section 20, subsection 3.

It is the responsibility of the assessment committee chairman to ensure that a room of a suitable size for the defence is reserved. The department is responsible for practical tasks in connection with the defence (reservation of room for the defence, sending out invitations, if appropriate, reception, assessment fee and travel accounting, etc.).

The PhD School Secretariat will inform the assessment committee members and the PhD student about the defence and will also announce the defence on the SDU website.

Procedure for the defence of the PhD thesis

During the defence, the author should be given the opportunity to give an account of his or her work and to defend the PhD thesis before members of the assessment committee. The assessment committee may inform the author, prior to the defence, of a subject for the lecture, if relevant.
The defence may last a maximum of three hours, of which 30-45 minutes are set aside for the author’s lecture.

*The defence normally takes place as follows:*

1. Introduction by the Head of Department or his or her substitute (the chairman), presenting the PhD student and members of the assessment committee. The chairman chairs the defence.


3. The three members of the assessment committee comment on the thesis and question the author. The chairman ensures that this opposition is limited to 1 hour and 30 minutes, divided between the members by internal agreement. The opposition must include concrete questions on the central themes in the thesis.

4. The chairman may allow questions from the audience with particular reference to the delivered lecture.

5. The chairman concludes the thesis defence. Before this, the chairman may give the author of the thesis permission to make some brief comments.

Thus, no input is expected from the principal supervisor. The chairman may, however, deviate from this rule at the request of the assessment committee. In such a case, the principal supervisor will be permitted to speak for no more than 30 minutes.

**The final assessment of the PhD thesis**

Immediately after the oral defence, the assessment committee prepares its final recommendation. Following a satisfactorily completed defence, the final recommendation may take the form of an addendum to the preliminary assessment. The chairman’s responsibility for the form of the final recommendation is comparable to that for the preliminary assessment. Please consult the PhD School’s [Guidelines for the writing of PhD recommendations](#) for further information.

If aspects of the thesis are revealed during the defence that give the committee cause to alter their description and assessment of it as given in the preliminary assessment, the final recommendation should be revised accordingly. In the event of disagreement, the recommendation will be decided by majority vote.

The target group for the final recommendation is the author and the Academic Council awarding the PhD degree.

The final recommendation should be signed by all members of the committee.
Award of the PhD degree

The final recommendation must be send to the Dean via the PhD School Secretariat after the defence.

The assessment committee makes a recommendation as to whether the PhD degree should be awarded. The recommendation should be reasoned and in the event of disagreement, the recommendation will be decided by majority vote.

If the assessment committee’s recommendation is negative, the Dean may decide to have the thesis assessed by a new assessment committee if the author requests this within one week.
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