Can computer games aid in diagnosing and treating combatrelated PTSD? The "Games for Health" Project

> Christoffer Holmgård PhD student

Center for Computer Games Research IT University of Copenhagen

> Institute of Digital Games University of Malta



- Background and Motivation
- Design
- Demonstration
- Game Functionality
- Study
- Results and Future Work

Project Mission

Develop a game based tool, using affective computing*, that addresses combat-induced PTSD

*Affective Computing: the study and development of systems and devices that can recognize, interpret, process, and simulate human affects.

Why Games?

Freedom to create almost any possible (or impossible) environment to **interact** with and **experience** within

Structure experience and behavior through rules and affordances







Multi-Disciplinary Team

- Researchers
- Games developers
- Psychiatrists
- Veterans









PHOTOBIA SIEMENS











Target Group

- Veterans
- Clinical PTSD
- Afghanistan experience
- Foot patrol
- 20-30 years of age



Design Criteria

- Normal therapeutic practice
- Minimize therapist intervention
- Low technical complexity
- Useful for a wide range of patients

Design Inspirations

- Stress Inoculation Training
- Exposure Therapy
- Virtual Reality Therapy
 - Virtual Iraq
 - Virtual Afghanistan

Virtual Iraq: Exposure Therapy to Treat PTSD



PTSD is Personal

- Trauma
- Symptoms
- Triggers
- Response patterns

- Configurable game
 - Stress inoculation
 - Exposure therapy
 - Stress detection

PTSD Physiological Characteristics

- Heightened
 - skin conductance activity
 - startle response
 - resting heart rate
- Slower
 - skin conductance habituation

The Game we Developed: StartleMart

Game based diagnostic and treatment tool that records physiological indicators of stress

Demonstration

How is StartleMart used?



How does StartleMart capture stress?





Empatica E2 sensor

IOM Sensor

How does StartleMart capture stress?



Study

- Purpose: Relevance of StartleMart
- 14 male PTSD patients
- Non-psychotic
- 2 testing days, 3 sessions each day
- 14 days apart
- Tests conducted at psychiatric clinic
- Administered by psychologist

Experimental Configuration

- Minimal patient/experimenter interaction
- Large display
- Headphones
- Maximal exposure
- Minimal distraction

Protocol



Key Features

- Profile
 - PCL-M score
 - # deployments
 - Days since deployment
 - Session
 stressfulness
 (SUDS)

- Skin Conductance
 - 16 features
 - Mean, max, min and differences
 - Global and local variation

Session Analysis

- SC normalization: individual, same day
- Feature extraction from SC signal
- Self-reports of stress
- Rank correlations
 - Signal/profile
- Pair-wise correlations
 - Signal/self-reports

Main Findings

SC Feature	Relation	PTSD Profile feature	Interpretation	ρ
SC _{max}	1	PCL	Hyper-arousal	0.29
SClast	1	PCL	Hyper-arousal	0.35
SC _{last-init}	1	PCL	Hyper-arousal	0.31
SC _{last-init}	1	PCL	Hyper-arousal	0.32
SC _{δ1}	1	PCL	Hyper-arousal	0.29
SC _{δ2}	1	PCL	Hyper-arousal	0.28
SC _{δδ}	1	PCL	Slow habituation?	0.28
SC Feature	Relation	PTSD Profile feature	Interpretation	ρ
SC Feature SC _{min}	Relation	PTSD Profile feature N _{dep}	Interpretation Unclear?	ρ -0.3 Ι
SC Feature SC _{min} SC _{last}	Relation ↓	PTSD Profile feature N _{dep} N _{day}	Interpretation Unclear? Recovery	P -0.31 -0.30
SC Feature SC _{min} SC _{last} SC _{last}	Relation	PTSD Profile feature N _{dep} N _{day}	Interpretation Unclear? Recovery Recovery	ρ -0.3 Ι -0.30 -0.35
SC Feature SC _{min} SC _{last} [SC _{last-init}] SC Feature	Relation Relation Relation	PTSD Profile feature N _{dep} N _{day} PTSD Profile feature	Interpretation Unclear? Recovery Recovery Interpretation	ρ -0.3 Ι -0.30 -0.35 c(z)
SC Feature SC _{min} SC _{last} SC _{last-init} SC Feature SC _{max-min}	Relation	PTSD Profile feature N _{dep} N _{day} PTSD Profile feature Preferences, adjacent	InterpretationUnclear?RecoveryRecoveryInterpretationHyper-arousal, slow habituation	ρ -0.3 I -0.30 -0.35 c(z) -0.25
SC Feature SC _{min} SC _{last} [SC _{last-init}] SC Feature SC _{max-min} SC _{max-min}	Relation Relation Relation	PTSD Profile feature N _{dep} N _{day} N _{day} PTSD Profile feature Preferences, adjacent Preferences, day	InterpretationUnclear?RecoveryRecoveryInterpretationHyper-arousal, slow habituationHyper-arousal, slow habituation	ρ -0.31 -0.30 -0.35 c(z) -0.25 -0.19

Results

Significant rank correlations between extracted features and profile features

Ongoing Work

- Event level analysis
- Prediction of PCL and self-reports
 - Preliminary results
- Comparison to non-PTSD veterans
- Treatment efficacy study

Preliminary Results

- PCL prediction
 - Multilayer Perceptron (5-7-1) regression
 - 0.91 correlation
 - RSME 2.1 points on PCL
- Most stressful event prediction
 - Multilayer Perceptron (11-7-2) classification
 - 85 % of reports correctly classified

Can computer games aid in diagnosing and treating combat-related PTSD?

- Patients responded to simulated everyday environments found experience relevant
- Significant relations between physiological responses and symptom severity
- Using machine learning, we can predict responses and PCL score (for our sample)
- Possible usefulness as diagnostic support?
- No knowledge of treatment efficacy from our study
- Related approaches (VR-therapy) have seen positive treatment effects for some groups of patients

Thank you!

Christoffer Holmgård

Center for Computer Games Research IT University of Copenhagen

Institute of Digital Games University of Malta

holmgard@itu.dk @holmgard



Ministry of Science, Innovation and Higher Education