



# Information index augmented eRG to model vaccination behaviour: A case study of COVID-19 in the US

Based on *arXiv*:2407.20711v1 , in collaboration with B. Buonomo, R. Della Marca and F. Sannino

Journal Club, October 18, 2024

### **Alessandra D'Alise**

Dipartimento di Fisica "Ettore Pancini" Università degli studi di Napoli "Federico II"



- 1. Introduction to the framework
- 2. eRG: a review
- 3. BeRG
- 4. Application to the COVID-19 case in the US
- 5. Part I: The US vaccination campaign as BeRG test-bed
- 6. Part II: Testing the validity of the BeRG

### Introduction to the framework







renormalization group approach in high energy physics



<mark>کا</mark>

renormalization group approach in high energy physics

> the beta function β governs the time (inverse energy) variation of the interaction strength among fundamental particles





renormalization group approach in epidemics



## $\beta$ is an epidemic strength function



renormalization group approach in epidemics



 $\beta$  is an epidemic strength function

renormalization group approach in epidemics

whose derivative with respect to time provides a new quantity: the beta-function of an underlying microscopic model.





it governs the time (inverse energy) dependence of the social interactions.







### eRG: a review







 $\beta$  may be interpreted as the time variation of the *epidemic strength*  $\alpha(t)$ 

$$-\beta(\alpha) = \frac{d\alpha}{dt} \tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha(t)$  is a measure of the interactions among individuals leading to infections from the beginning of the epidemic wave up to the time t

eRG





The quantity  $\alpha$  is typically assumed to be the slowly varying function:

$$\alpha(t) = \ln \mathcal{I}(t), \tag{2}$$

- where  $\mathcal{I}(t)$  is the cumulative number of infectious individuals Two parameters to describe a single wave:
  - *a* : the total number of cases at the end of the wave.
  - $\gamma$  : the growth rate of the wave.

### eRG: dictionary



A single wave is seen as a flow between two fixed points representing:

• the beginning of the epidemic wave, where  $\alpha = 0$ 

#### and

• the end of the epidemic wave, when the final size of epidemic strength is reached, i.e.  $\alpha = a$ 

the (approximate) temporal symmetries of the problem yields

$$-\beta(\alpha) \equiv \frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \gamma \,\alpha \,\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{a}\right) \tag{3}$$

that captures the *initial* and *final* temporal scale invariance of a single epidemic outbreak

### eRG: vaccine implementation





# eRG: vaccine implementation vaccination campaign aims to aims to







### eRG: vaccine implementation

a

$$\frac{d\gamma}{dt} = -\mathbf{c} \ \gamma(t_v) , \qquad \frac{dA}{dt} = -\mathbf{c} \ (A - e^{\alpha}) . \tag{4}$$

with  $t_v$  the initial time of the vaccination campaign

- $A = e^a$
- c the fraction of vaccinated individuals in the unit of time

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \gamma \alpha \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\log A} \right)$$

$$\frac{d\gamma}{dt} = -c \gamma(t_v)$$

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = -c \left( A - e^{\alpha} \right)$$
(5)

### eRG: travellers



in any realistic description of a pandemics

exchange across different regions







### eRG: interaction term

25

 $\boldsymbol{W}$  regions that interact

$$\sum_{j\neq i}^{W} \frac{K_{ij}}{n_{mi}} \left( e^{\alpha_j - \alpha_i} - 1 \right) \tag{6}$$

 $K_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  is a measure for the number of travellers between the populations i and j

system of W coupled differential equations:

$$\frac{d\alpha_i}{dt} = \gamma_i \alpha_i \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_i}{\log A_i} \right) + \sum_{j \neq i}^W \frac{K_{ij}}{n_{mi}} \left( e^{\alpha_j - \alpha_i} - 1 \right)$$

$$\frac{d\gamma_i}{dt} = -c \, \gamma_i(t_v)$$

$$\frac{dA_i}{dt} = -c \, \left( A_i - e^{\alpha_i} \right)$$
(7)







# Social alarm and human behaviour



#### Social alarm



some people are afraid and get vaccinated

> does it affect the dynamics of epidemics?



we introduce the function *information index* taking in consideration:

- 1. the *information induced perception* regarding the status of the disease
- 2. the *memory* of past spread

$$M(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} k \mathcal{I}(\tau) H(\tau) \theta e^{-\theta (t-\tau)} d\tau$$
(8)

 ${\boldsymbol{H}}$  is the Heaviside step function

### Information index II



$$M(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathbf{k} \,\mathcal{I}(\tau) H(\tau) \theta e^{-\theta (t-\tau)} d\tau \tag{9}$$

#### 1. k > 0 is the *information coverage* :

- 0 < k < 1 disease under-reporting and leads to underestimate the number of ill cases in the community
- k>1 disease over-reporting and leads to overestimate the number of ill cases in the community
- 2.  $1/\theta$  is the characteristic memory length: average time delay in the collection of the information on the disease

### Information index III

$$M(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} k \mathcal{I}(\tau) H(\tau) \theta e^{-\theta(t-\tau)} d\tau$$
(10)

results in a differential equation for M:

$$\frac{M(t)}{dt} = \theta \left( k e^{\alpha} - M \right) \tag{11}$$

impact of the human behaviour on the vaccination campaign

the time variations of  $\gamma$  and a are affected by M

upgrade c to be c(M)





### Impact on vaccinated individuals

D

31

upgrade of the original constant c to be a function of the information index c(M)

$$c(M) = c_0 + c_1(M)$$
 (12)

### Impact on vaccinated individuals



upgrade of the original constant c to be a function of the information index  $c({\cal M})$ 

$$c(M) = c_0 + c_1(M) \tag{12}$$

 fraction of individuals who get vaccinated independently of the information

1

### Impact on vaccinated individuals

31

(12)

upgrade of the original constant c to be a function of the information index  $c({\cal M})$ 

- $c(M) = \underbrace{c_0}_{-} + c_1(M)$  fraction of individuals who get vaccinated
- independently of the information
- fraction of individuals who get vaccinated because of the social alarm caused by the spreading information on the disease /
# Impact on vaccinated individuals



(12)

upgrade of the original constant c to be a function of the information index c(M)

 $c(M) = \underbrace{c_0}_{\uparrow} + \underbrace{c_{1,\max}}_{\kappa}$ 

D M

D M

 fraction of individuals who get vaccinated independently of the information

 fraction of individuals who get vaccinated because of the social alarm caused by the spreading information on the disease

•  $D \ge 0$ , the *reactivity*, measuring how quickly the individuals react to information

#### **BeRG equations**



We therefore arrive at the following system of equations:

$$\frac{d\alpha_i}{dt} = \gamma_i \alpha_i \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_i}{\log A_i} \right) + \sum_{j \neq i}^W \frac{K_{ij}}{n_{mi}} \left( e^{\alpha_j - \alpha_i} - 1 \right)$$

$$\frac{d\gamma_i}{dt} = -c(M_i)\gamma_i(t_v)$$

$$\frac{dA_i}{dt} = -c(M_i) \left( A_i - e^{\alpha_i} \right)$$

$$\frac{dM_i}{dt} = \theta_i (k_i e^{\alpha_i} - M_i).$$
(13)

The above defines the Behavioural epidemiological Renormalisation Group (BeRG) including the vaccination impact that can be used for any pandemic across the world

# BeRG parameters →>}

already included in eRG:

- *a* : the total number of cases at the end of the wave.
- $\gamma$  : the growth rate of the wave.

new parameters:

- *k* (*information coverage*) : balance between the disease under-reporting and the media and rumours amplification of the social alarm
- $\theta$  : rate at which memory of past is retained in individuals
- $D(reactivity \ parameter)$  : how quickly the individuals react to information

# Application to the COVID-19 case in the US





Ð





# Part I: The US vaccination campaign as BeRG test-bed

335	an N	57		
	118			
	S 10	9.00		7/
		20	140	5/

















### Step 1: eRG to obtain a and $\gamma$



COVID-19 in the US



study of the second wave started in August 2020





#### The US census divisions



The US are separated into 9 different regions with similar cultural area and populations

# Study of the second wave







# Methodology

D



optimization process to find a and  $\gamma$  comparing with the official data

$$\frac{d\alpha_i}{dt} = \gamma_i \alpha_i \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_i}{a_i} \right) + \sum_{j \neq i}^9 \frac{K_{ij}}{n_{mi}} \left( e^{\alpha_j - \alpha_i} - 1 \right) + \sum_i^9 \frac{K_{R_X i}}{n_{R_X i}} \left( e^{\alpha_{R_X} - \alpha_i} - 1 \right)$$
(14)

# Methodology

D



optimization process to find a and  $\gamma$  comparing with the official data

$$\frac{d\alpha_i}{dt} = \gamma_i \alpha_i \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_i}{a_i}\right) + \sum_{j \neq i}^{9} \frac{K_{ij}}{n_{mi}} \left(e^{\alpha_j - \alpha_i} - 1\right) + \sum_i^{9} \frac{K_{R_X i}}{n_{R_X i}} \left(e^{\alpha_{R_X} - \alpha_i} - 1\right)$$
(14)  
• interactions among the  
9 divisions using  
flight data for  $K_{ij}$ 

# Methodology

D



optimization process to find a and  $\gamma$  comparing with the official data

$$\frac{d\alpha_{i}}{dt} = \gamma_{i}\alpha_{i}\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{i}}{a_{i}}\right) + \sum_{j \neq i}^{9} \frac{K_{ij}}{n_{mi}} \left(e^{\alpha_{j} - \alpha_{i}} - 1\right) + \sum_{i}^{9} \frac{K_{R_{X}i}}{n_{R_{X}i}} \left(e^{\alpha_{R_{X}} - \alpha_{i}} - 1\right)$$
(14)  
• interactions among the  
9 divisions using  
flight data for  $K_{ij}$   
• interactions between the  
9 divisions and the Region-X  
to model the source of  
the start of the second wave

#### eRG fit to obtain a and $\gamma$



$$\frac{d\alpha_i}{dt} = \gamma_i \alpha \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_i}{a_i}\right) + \sum_{j \neq i}^9 \frac{K_{ij}}{n_{ij}} \left(e^{\alpha_j - \alpha_i} - 1\right)$$

1

7

 $\mathbf{i}$ 

$$+\sum_{i}^{9}\frac{K_{R_Xi}}{n_{R_Xi}}\left(e^{\alpha_{R_X}-\alpha_i}-1\right)$$

a and  $\gamma$  using the cumulative infected data

Second wave parameters						
Division	Code	a	$\gamma$			
New England	NE	11.006	0.214			
Mid-Atlantic	MA	10.882	0.206			
South Atlantic	SA	10.885	0.185			
East South Central	ESC	11.201	0.207			
West South Central	WSC	10.713	0.213			
East North Central	ENC	11.074	0.250			
West North Central	WNC	11.060	0.263			
Mountains	М	11.089	0.213			
Pacific	Р	11.535	0.171			

Table: Parameters of the eRG model for the second wave in the 9 divisions and their baseline values, chosen to reproduce the data until December 16, 2020

#### **STEP 2: BeRG implementation**





study vaccination campaign to model the dynamics from December 16, 2020 on

STEP 2: we move to BeRG



Find the BeRG parameters:  $D, \theta, k$ 

# D and heta parameters



For each census division we establish that

$$c(M) = c_0 + c_{1,\max} \frac{D M}{1 + D M},$$
 (15)

- $c_0 = 0.0064$  weeks<sup>-1</sup> since 0.64% of the total population was vaccinated as of December 28, 2020
- $c_{1,max}$  is obtained assuming that  $c_0 + c_{1,max}$  corresponds to the maximum vaccination rate reached during the COVID-19 pandemic in US
- $D = 5 \times 10^{-6}$  so that c(M) has a steep growth at the beginning of the vaccination campaign, and then it smooths over time until it reaches a plateau by the end of July 2021

and the characteristic memory length  $1/\theta$  is of four days hence  $\theta = 4$  weeks<sup>-1</sup>

estimate the information coverage parameter k compare the model solutions with the data stemming from the first dose vaccinated individuals

a first glance on the response of human behaviour from official data





partially vaccinated are those individuals who have received the first dose of Pfizer or Moderna vaccines



the number of partially vaccinated individuals per millions (PVM) from the model during the vaccination campaign,

is as much as possible close to the official number of partially vaccinated people

The estimate is obtained minimising

1

$$\chi_i^2 = \frac{1}{t_f - t_1 - 1} \sum_{l=1}^f \left( V_{BeRG,i}^l - V_{0,i}^l \right)^2 \text{ with } i = 1, \dots 9$$
 (16)

The estimate is obtained minimising

1

$$\chi_{i}^{2} = \frac{1}{t_{f} - t_{1} - 1} \sum_{l=1}^{f} \left( \begin{array}{c} V_{BeRG,i}^{l} & - & V_{0,i}^{l} \end{array} \right)^{2} \text{ with } i = 1, \dots 9 \quad (16)$$
number of PVM
from the BeRG model,
 $N_{i}$  is the population
corresponding to the ith-division

The estimate is obtained minimising



The estimate is obtained minimising

$$\chi_{i}^{2} = \frac{1}{t_{f} - t_{1} - 1} \sum_{l=1}^{f} \left( \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{l}} c(M_{i})N_{i}dt - V_{0,i}^{l} \right)^{2} \text{ with } i = 1, \dots 9 \quad (16)$$
number of PVM
from the BeRG model,
 $N_{i}$  is the population
corresponding to the ith-division

- official number of PVM –
- $t_0$  marks the official start of the US vaccination campaign (i.e., December 16, 2020), l increments the number of weeks at which we evaluate the  $\chi_i^2$

The estimate is obtained minimising

$$\chi_{i}^{2} = \frac{1}{t_{f} - t_{1} - 1} \sum_{l=1}^{f} \left( \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{l}} c(M_{i})N_{i}dt - V_{0,i}^{l} \right)^{2} \text{ with } i = 1, \dots 9 \quad (16)$$
number of PVM
from the BeRG model,
 $N_{i}$  is the population
corresponding to the ith-division

official number of PVM

n

- $t_0$  marks the official start of the US vaccination campaign (i.e., December 16, 2020), l increments the number of weeks at which we evaluate the  $\chi_i^2$
- computations from week  $t_1 = 26$  (January 21, 2021) to week  $t_9 = 34$  (March 18, 2021)





Server

#### Fit on PVM II

×











#### Results: the k parameter



#### Summary of Part I



To assess the performance of the the BeRG model, we perform the best fits on PVM and we compared with eRG curves and official data:

- the eRG curve is reproduced by taking  $c = c_0$  while the BeRG curve is obtained by assuming  $c = c_0 + c_1(M)$ , with  $c_1(M)$  that further depends on the parameter k
- the BeRG model is able to reproduce the official data better than eRG, as expected
- the variability in the information coverage parameter depends on several factors influencing:
  - 1. how the population experiences the pandemics in different US divisions
  - 2. personal believes to social-economical status

# Part II: Testing the validity of the BeRG


## **History and Plan**

D





#### The US census divisions



we only focus on divisions where the vaccination campaign starts when the epidemic peak has not yet been achieved

# BeRG fit to obtain a, $\gamma$ , D and k



$$\frac{d\alpha_i}{dt} = \gamma_i \,\alpha_i \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_i}{a_i}\right) + \sum_{j \neq i}^4 \frac{K_{ij}}{n_{ij}} \left(e^{\alpha_j - \alpha_i} - 1\right)$$

$$+\sum_{i}^{4} \frac{K_{R_X i}}{n_{R_X i}} \left( e^{\alpha_{R_X} - \alpha_i} - 1 \right)$$

$$\frac{d\gamma_i}{dt} = -c(M_i) \gamma_i(t_v)$$

 $\frac{da_i}{dt} = -c(M_i) (e^{a_i} - e^{\alpha_i})$  *a*,  $\gamma$ , D and k using the new infected data

Second wave parameters				
Code	a	$\gamma$	k	D
NE	11.036	0.204	0.52	$5 \times 10^{-6}$
MA	11.075	0.185	0.75	$8 \times 10^{-6}$
SA	11.075	0.185	0.60	$10^{-5}$
ESC	11.221	0.207	0.64	$5 \times 10^{-6}$

Table: Parameters of the BeRG model for the second wave in 4 divisions: NE, MA, SA and ESC. The values are chosen to reproduce the data until March 4, 2021.

#### Results

×









### Conclusions



We introduced the information index augmented eRG model to describe vaccination behaviours during an epidemic outbreak performing multiple tests of the model by comparing it to the data corresponding to nine US divisions:

#### 1. Part I

- we observe a strong behavioural impact on the increase of the number of vaccinated for all divisions
- 2. Part II
  - the model provides a better representation of the data for the divisions where the peak of the number of new infected is reached after the start of the vaccination campaign
  - the result is consistent with the expectation that the vaccination campaign and associated human behaviour has an impact when the epidemic is still rising



# Thank you!

